

Enhancing BLDC Motor Speed Control: A Review of Fuzzy-Tuned Proportional-Integral Approaches

Arun Suryavanshi¹, Devendra Sharma², M.S.Dash³, Saurabh Gupta⁴, Vasant Acharya⁵
arunsurvanshi284@gmail.com¹, devendrasharma798@gmail.com², malaya_rec@rediffmail.com³,
saurabhgupta.sgsits@gmail.com⁴, vasantacharyatitc@gmail.com⁵

¹MTech Scholar, Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Technocrats Institute of Technology, Bhopal, India

²Assistant Professor, Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering, Technocrats Institute of Technology, Bhopal, India

³Professor, Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering, Technocrats Institute of Technology, Bhopal, India

⁴Professor, Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering, Technocrats Institute of Technology, Bhopal, India

⁵Assistant Professor, Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering, Technocrats Institute of Technology, Bhopal, India

Abstract

Brushless DC (BLDC) motors are highly efficient, reliable, and widely adopted machines, distinguished by their brushless operation, which eliminates friction and wear, leading to low maintenance requirements. Their inherent capability for precise speed control is crucial across diverse applications, from electric vehicles to industrial automation. However, conventional Proportional-Integral (PI) controllers, despite their widespread use, struggle with the inherent non-linearities, load disturbances, and adaptability issues of BLDC motors, often necessitating continuous manual tuning. Fuzzy logic, an intelligent control paradigm, offers a robust solution by handling uncertainty and imprecision through approximate information and linguistic rules. This paper reviews the integration of fuzzy logic with PI controllers to form fuzzy-tuned PI controllers for BLDC motor speed control. Such hybrid approaches demonstrate superior dynamic performance, enhanced robustness, and greater adaptability, effectively addressing the

limitations of traditional control methods and paving the way for more advanced motor drive systems.

Keywords BLDC motor, Speed control, PI controller, Fuzzy logic, Fuzzy-tuned PI, Intelligent control.

I. Introduction

Brushless DC (BLDC) motors represent a significant advancement in electric motor technology. These permanent magnet synchronous electric motors are driven by direct current (DC) electricity and are uniquely characterized by their electronic commutation system, which replaces the mechanical brushes found in traditional DC motors. This brushless operation confers numerous advantages, including high efficiency, a compact and lightweight design, extended lifespan due to reduced friction and wear, and an inherent capability for precise speed control. Consequently, BLDC motors have found widespread adoption in an extensive array of applications, ranging from computer hard drives and electric vehicles to sophisticated industrial automation and medical equipment.

For these diverse applications, maintaining precise and robust speed control is paramount for achieving optimal performance, ensuring energy efficiency, guaranteeing operational stability, and enhancing safety. Proportional-Integral (PI) controllers have historically been a cornerstone of industrial control systems, widely adopted in over 80% of control loops due to their simplicity and effectiveness in many linear applications. However, despite their prevalence, conventional fixed-gain PI controllers face considerable challenges when applied to inherently non-linear systems such as BLDC motors. These limitations include slow adaptation to sudden speed changes, susceptibility to significant load disturbances, and poor performance under parameter variations, often leading to degraded performance, excessive overshoot, and the need for tedious, often suboptimal, manual re-tuning.

The increasing complexity and dynamic demands of modern applications, such as electric vehicles and robotics, are pushing the boundaries of traditional control methods. This has highlighted a critical performance gap: highly capable motors are often limited by the static

nature of their control systems when confronted with dynamic, real-world conditions. This fundamental mismatch between motor capabilities and controller limitations serves as a powerful impetus for research and development into more sophisticated, adaptive, and intelligent control methods.

Fuzzy logic, proposed by Lotfi Zadeh in 1965, emerged as an intelligent, non-linear control paradigm. Its core strength lies in its ability to handle uncertainty and imprecision by operating with approximate or uncertain information, utilizing linguistic variables and rule-based decision-making that mimics human-like reasoning. This characteristic makes fuzzy logic particularly well-suited for controlling complex, non-linear, and vaguely defined systems like BLDC motors, as it is inherently robust to parameter fluctuations. This review paper aims to explore the fundamental principles, significant advantages, current challenges, and promising future directions of intelligent speed control of BLDC motors using fuzzy-tuned PI controllers. The objective is to demonstrate how this hybrid approach effectively addresses and overcomes the inherent limitations of conventional PI control, thereby enhancing the overall performance and robustness of BLDC motor drive systems.

II. Literature Review

The growing adoption of BLDC motors across various industries has spurred extensive research into effective and robust control strategies. Early control methods primarily focused on fundamental electronic commutation, often relying on Hall effect sensors for rotor position detection or sensorless techniques based on back-EMF zero-crossing points.

Historically, Proportional-Integral (PI) controllers have been prevalent in BLDC motor speed regulation. These controllers are widely used, frequently forming the outer speed loop in dual closed-loop control structures, owing to their simplicity and reasonable steady-state accuracy. However, the application of fixed-gain PI controllers to the non-linear and time-varying characteristics of BLDC motors presents well-documented limitations. These include their slow adaptation to sudden speed changes, susceptibility to significant load disturbances, and sensitivity to variations in motor parameters. Such limitations often result in undesirable transient responses, such as high overshoot, prolonged settling times, and sensitivity to noise,

necessitating continuous and tedious manual re-tuning for optimal performance across different operating points.

The conceptual origins of fuzzy logic control can be traced to Lotfi A. Zadeh's proposal in 1965. It was introduced as a mathematical system designed to analyze analog inputs in terms of logical variables that take continuous values between 0 and 1. Its core strength lies in its ability to deal with concepts that are "partially true" and to handle uncertainty and imprecision, operating with approximate information rather than requiring precise mathematical models. This rule-based, human-like decision-making process makes fuzzy logic inherently robust to parameter fluctuations.

Recognizing that hybrid approaches can overcome the weaknesses of stand-alone algorithms while retaining their respective advantages, research efforts soon focused on integrating fuzzy logic with conventional controllers. Early studies demonstrated that fuzzy logic could be effectively employed to "self-tune" the proportional (K_p) and integral (K_i) gains of a PI controller online. This dynamic adjustment, based on control error, was shown to lead to improved speed response, faster settling times, and reduced overshoot compared to fixed-gain PI controllers. This evolution signifies a fundamental shift in control engineering from rigid, model-dependent control strategies to more flexible, adaptive, and intelligent systems. The "self-tuning" capability is a key indicator of this paradigm shift, aiming to minimize manual intervention and ensure optimal performance across a broad range of operating conditions.[1]-[5]

III. Methods: Fuzzy-Tuned PI Control for BLDC Motors

A BLDC motor fundamentally comprises a stationary stator with windings and a rotating rotor containing permanent magnets. The operational principle is based on the stator generating a rotating magnetic field that interacts with the permanent magnets on the rotor, thereby producing torque and causing rotation. Crucially, electronic commutation, often facilitated by Hall effect sensors, precisely detects the rotor's angular position. An electronic controller then switches the DC current to the appropriate stator windings with precise phase and amplitude. This continuous, electronically controlled alignment of magnetic fields ensures smooth, continuous rotation and enables precise control over motor speed and torque.

Principles of PI Control

The PI controller is characterized by two core components: the Proportional (P) term and the Integral (I) term. The P term generates a control output proportional to the current error, aiming to increase the speed of the system's response. The I term, conversely, accumulates past errors over time to eliminate any steady-state error. The qualitative effects of increasing each gain are summarized in the table below:

Parameter	Rise time	Overshoot	Settling time	Steady-state error	Stability
Kp	Decrease	Increase	Small change	Decrease	Degrade
Ki	Decrease	Increase	Increase	Eliminate	Degrade

Export to Sheets

Table: Qualitative Effects of Increasing PI Controller Gains on System Performance

Principles of Fuzzy Logic Control

Fuzzy control systems are conceptually simple, consisting of three stages:

- **Input Stage (Fuzzification):** This stage converts crisp (numerical) input values from sensors, such as speed error and its derivative, into fuzzy values. This is achieved through "fuzzy sets" and "membership functions," which assign a degree of membership (between 0 and 1) to linguistic terms (e.g., "negative large," "zero," "positive small").
- **Processing Stage (Fuzzy Inference System - FIS):** This is the decision-making core, built upon a collection of IF-THEN rules (e.g., "IF speed error IS positive large AND change of error IS negative small THEN change in Kp IS positive medium"). These rules, derived from human expertise or empirical observations, generate fuzzy outputs by combining antecedents using fuzzy operators like AND (typically minimum weight) or OR (typically maximum value).

- **Output Stage (Defuzzification):** The final stage converts the fuzzy outputs from the FIS back into crisp, actionable control values, such as specific numerical adjustments to K_p and K_i . Common methods include the "centroid" method (center of mass) or the "height" method (value of the biggest contributor).

Architecture of Fuzzy-Tuned PI Controllers

The integration of fuzzy logic with PI control for BLDC motor speed control primarily adopts two prevalent architectures:

- **Self-Tuning PI (Supervisory FLC):** In this widely adopted configuration, the Fuzzy Logic Controller (FLC) functions as a supervisory layer. It receives real-time system performance metrics, typically speed error (E) and change of error (CE), as its inputs. Based on its predefined rule base, the FLC dynamically calculates and outputs adjustments to the proportional gain (K_p) and integral gain (K_i) of the underlying conventional PI controller. This online gain adaptation enables the PI controller to maintain optimal performance despite varying operating conditions, load disturbances, or parameter changes.
- **Fuzzy PI Hybrid (Direct Fuzzy Output):** In some implementations, the fuzzy logic controller itself is designed with a PI-like structure. Here, the Fuzzy Inference System directly processes speed error and its derivative to generate the required control output (e.g., q-axis current values in Field-Oriented Control), effectively replacing the classical PI controller in that specific loop.

The integration of fuzzy logic with PI control, whether through self-tuning or a hybrid approach, represents a move towards adaptive intelligence. The fuzzy layer acts as a higher-level decision-maker, dynamically adjusting the lower-level PI controller based on real-time system behavior, rather than simply replacing it. This suggests a hierarchical control philosophy that leverages the strengths of both paradigms.

Tuning Methods for Conventional PI and Fuzzy-PI

For conventional PI controllers, practical tuning methods include manual trial-and-error, where K_p is incrementally increased to reduce steady-state error, followed by increasing K_i to eliminate it entirely, all while observing the system response. Systematic rule-based methods like Ziegler-Nichols are also employed, involving the identification of a critical gain (K_u) and oscillation period (T_u) from the system's response to a proportional-only controller, which are then used with a lookup table to derive appropriate K_p and K_i values.

For fuzzy-tuned PI controllers, initial scaling parameters can often be derived from the tuned gain values of a conventional PI controller. However, for optimal performance, these scaling parameters, as well as the membership functions and rule base, typically require further refinement. This advanced tuning frequently employs metaheuristic optimization algorithms such as Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) or Gravitational Search Algorithm (GSA), or their hybrids, to automatically search for the optimal set of fuzzy parameters. The choice of membership function shapes, such as asymmetrical Bell-shaped functions, and the design of the rule base are often determined through extensive simulation and experimental testing.

IV. Advantages of Fuzzy-Tuned PI Control

Fuzzy-tuned PI controllers offer significant advantages for BLDC motor speed control, consistently demonstrating superior performance compared to conventional fixed-gain PI controllers.

One of the most prominent benefits is **improved dynamic performance**. These controllers exhibit remarkably faster rise times, a significant reduction or complete elimination of overshoot, and quicker settling times. For instance, simulations have shown transient time reductions from 0.2 seconds to 0.05 seconds and complete overshoot avoidance. Even experimental results, while sometimes less dramatic due to implementation delays, confirm improved speed response and reduced overshoot.

A paramount advantage is their **enhanced robustness and adaptability**. Fuzzy-tuned PI control possesses an inherent ability to adapt dynamically to varying operating conditions, including effective compensation for sudden speed changes, significant load disturbances, and variations in

motor parameters. The online self-tuning mechanism, where the fuzzy logic controller continuously adjusts the PI gains, ensures stable and efficient operation even under challenging and unpredictable conditions where fixed-gain PI controllers would exhibit degraded performance. This adaptability leads to fast recovery from load torque and parameter variations with minimal steady-state error. The ability to adapt and handle non-linearities leads to superior dynamic response and robustness. These technical advantages translate into significant practical benefits for real-world applications, such as reduced stress on mechanical components, faster stabilization, increased product lifespan, and improved operational efficiency.

Furthermore, these controllers demonstrate a strong **ability to handle system non-linearities**. Unlike traditional control methods that necessitate precise mathematical models and struggle with inherent system non-linearities, fuzzy logic control operates based on empirical rules and degrees of membership, allowing it to effectively manage complex non-linear behaviors. This makes fuzzy-tuned PI controllers inherently more robust to the non-linear characteristics of BLDC motors and uncertainties in their operating environment.

In certain advanced implementations, the integration of fuzzy logic, particularly when combined with improved pulse width modulation (PWM) techniques (e.g., sinusoidal PWM with third harmonic injection), can lead to **reduced harmonic content and torque ripple**. This results in current waveforms that are closer to sinusoidal, contributing to smoother motor operation, lower acoustic noise, and improved power quality.

V. Recent Challenges

Despite the significant advantages, the implementation and widespread adoption of fuzzy-tuned PI controllers face several challenges.

A notable challenge is the **discrepancy between simulation and experimental results**. While theoretical simulations frequently demonstrate dramatic improvements, such as complete overshoot cancellation and substantial transient time reductions, real-world experimental outcomes often show less pronounced improvements. This difference is primarily attributed to practical limitations inherent in digital algorithm implementation, including significant delays

introduced by microcontroller processing, inaccuracies arising from program execution time lags, and limitations in rotor position detection from Hall sensors. This highlights a critical aspect in control systems engineering: the transition from idealized mathematical models to real-world physical systems. The inherent computational overhead and temporal delays introduced by digital processing units in real-time control systems directly limit the extent to which theoretical performance gains of complex, adaptive algorithms can be fully realized in a physical setup.

Another challenge is **computational complexity and hardware requirements**. Implementing advanced fuzzy-tuned PI schemes, especially those involving complex fuzzy inference systems or hybrid architectures with multiple controllers, can demand high computational power. This computational burden can render such controllers unsuitable for low-power, cost-sensitive, or inexpensive BLDC motor control applications where hardware resources are limited. The complexity of implementation can also act as a barrier to widespread adoption.

Furthermore, **tuning complexity and expertise requirements** persist. Although fuzzy logic aims to handle uncertainty, the design of optimal fuzzy sets, the definition of membership functions (e.g., their shape, number, and placement), and the construction of a comprehensive and effective rule base still demand considerable expertise and can be a time-consuming, iterative process. Achieving optimal tuning often requires fine-tuning even after systematic methods have been applied.

Finally, concerns exist regarding **limited generalization and comparative performance**. Some research suggests that the perceived superiority of fuzzy logic speed control, often highlighted in simulations, might be less pronounced or even inconsistent in experimental rigs. In certain cases, a well-tuned conventional PI controller might even offer comparable or superior speed response, particularly in specific operating regimes or when computational delays become significant. This indicates challenges in ensuring consistent generalization of benefits across diverse real-world scenarios and underscores the importance of rigorous experimental validation.

VI. Future Directions

To further advance the capabilities and adoption of fuzzy-tuned PI control for BLDC motors, several promising future directions are evident.

A primary direction involves the **integration with advanced optimization algorithms**.

Leveraging metaheuristic optimization algorithms, such as improved Particle Swarm Optimization-Gravitational Search Algorithm (PSO-GSA) hybrids, genetic algorithms, or neural networks, can enable the automatic and optimal selection of fuzzy PI controller parameters. This approach aims to overcome the challenges of manual and iterative tuning, leading to superior and more consistent performance while reducing development time.

Development of sensorless fuzzy-tuned PI control is another significant area of research. To reduce system cost, size, and maintenance requirements, integrating fuzzy-tuned PI control with sensorless techniques is crucial. This involves developing robust speed and position estimation algorithms, often based on back-EMF detection or advanced filters like transformer-based contextual filters, to provide reliable inputs to the fuzzy-tuned PI controller without the need for physical rotor position sensors. The convergence of intelligent control, optimization algorithms, and sensorless operation indicates a future trend towards fully autonomous, self-optimizing, and low-cost motor control systems. This suggests a significant shift from human-tuned, sensor-dependent systems to highly sophisticated, embedded intelligence, representing the next generation of motor drive technology.

The inherent robustness, adaptability, and ability of fuzzy-tuned PI controllers to handle non-linearities make them highly suitable for **application in emerging fields and complex systems**.

Future research will explore their deployment in areas such as electric vehicles and hybrid vehicles, renewable energy systems (e.g., wind turbines, solar tracking), advanced robotics, industrial automation, and smart grid power electronic interfaces.

Further research into **nonlinear membership functions and adaptive tuning of MFs** can enhance the performance and flexibility of fuzzy controllers. This involves exploring the use of more sophisticated, non-linear membership functions and developing adaptive mechanisms for tuning the parameters of these MFs online. This would allow for more nuanced and precise control responses tailored to specific operating conditions.

Finally, addressing the identified challenge of computational complexity is crucial for broader industrial adoption. Future work will focus on **computational efficiency and hardware optimization**, developing more computationally efficient fuzzy inference systems, optimizing the algorithm for real-time execution, and leveraging more advanced microcontroller platforms or dedicated hardware (e.g., FPGAs) to minimize processing delays and enable high-performance control in low-cost applications.

VII. Conclusion

Fuzzy-tuned Proportional-Integral (PI) controllers offer significant and demonstrable advantages for Brushless DC (BLDC) motor speed control, effectively overcoming the inherent limitations of conventional fixed-gain PI controllers. Their superior dynamic performance, characterized by faster response times, significantly reduced or eliminated overshoot, and quicker settling times, is a key benefit. Additionally, their enhanced robustness and adaptability to various disturbances, such as sudden load changes and parameter variations, coupled with their inherent ability to manage system non-linearities effectively, underscore their value. This intelligent control approach is critically important in meeting the increasingly stringent and demanding performance requirements of modern high-performance BLDC motor applications across diverse industries.

While fuzzy-tuned PI controllers have shown remarkable promise, ongoing research is crucial to bridge the existing gap between theoretical simulation results and practical experimental performance. Continued efforts in optimizing tuning methodologies, integrating with advanced sensorless techniques, and improving computational efficiency will further enhance their capabilities. Fuzzy-tuned PI control remains a highly promising and active area of research, poised to further advance the precision, efficiency, and reliability of BLDC motor drive systems in the future. The field of intelligent control is moving towards a more holistic approach, where theoretical advancements are increasingly intertwined with practical considerations of hardware, cost, and real-world operational performance.

References

1. A. M. Ahmed, A. Ali-Eldin, M. S. Elksasy, and F. F. Areed, "Brushless DC Motor Speed Control using both PI Controller and Fuzzy PI Controller," *International Journal of Computer Applications*, vol. 109, no. 10, pp. 29-35, Jan. 2015. doi: 10.5120/19227-0933.
2. K. Kroičs and A. Būmanis, "BLDC Motor Speed Control with Digital Adaptive PID-Fuzzy Controller and Reduced Harmonic Content," *Energies*, vol. 17, no. 6, p. 1311, 2024. doi: 10.3390/en17061311.
3. M. A. Rahman and S. M. Nur, "Self-Tuning of PI Speed Controller Gains Using Fuzzy Logic Controller," *Modern Applied Science*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 56-65, Nov. 2008.
4. B. Song, Y. Xiao, and L. Xu, "Design of fuzzy PI controller for brushless DC motor based on PSO–GSA algorithm," *Systems Science & Control Engineering*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 67-77, 2020. doi: 10.1080/21642583.2020.1723144.
5. G. Elangovan, S. Anitha, and M. P. Kumar, "Speed Control of Permanent Magnet Brushless DC Motor Using Hybrid Fuzzy Proportional plus Integral plus Derivative Controller," *International Journal of Engineering and Technology (IJET)*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 2988-2993, 2017.